| Question | |
|----------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | OHCA |
| | EMR offer dispatch assisted CPR |
| | no bystander CPR |
| | Neurologically intact survival, Survival of event |
| | OHCA |
| | Guideline |
| | Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a significant cause of death worldwide with an annual rate of over 400,000. |
| | Survival rates for OHCA victims, the current average rate remains very low at approximately 10%. A victim is |
| | almost 4 times more likely to survive a cardiac arrest event when someone witnesses their arrest and performs |
| | CPR while emergency personnel are enroute |
| | Nil |

Assessment

| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
|--|--|--|
| No Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies Don't know | Newtorker of the order of the order | |
| ludgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| Trivial Small Moderate Large Varies Don't know | Likelihood to provide CPR dramatically increased but barriers to performing CPR remain. Rescuers are however given the option to follow instructions. Unfortunately the desired outcome (survival of the event) is not guaranteed and rescuers may suffer trauma either way. Adjusted results: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better : OR 1.81 (95% CI 1.23 to 2.67) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better : OR 1.52 (1.33 to 1.75): Very low Survival to 1 month better : OR 1.63 (1.32 to 2.01): Very low Survival to 1 month better : OR 1.63 (1.32 to 2.01): Very low Survival to hospital discharge better : OR 1.32 (1.07 to 1.64): Very low Sustained ROSC better : OR 1.51 (1.32 to 1.73): Very low All sensitivity analyses confirmed benefit with DA-CPR | Additional considerations include: rates of recognition of OHCA, motivation of dispatchers, time to deliver DA-CPR, time to arriva of EMS, existing bystander CPR rates, willingness of bystanders to commence CPR, and quality of CPR delivered Desirable effects best estimated by the evaluation of the adjusted results from the included studies. Unadjusted results were available for more studies, and a larger number of patients. Unadjusted results are included below for comparison: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better : OR 1.45 (95% 1.3 to 1.53) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better : OR 2.12 (1.37 to 3.29): Moderate Survival to hospital discharge better : OR 1.56 (1.34 to 1.81): Low Sustained ROSC better : OR 1.50 (1.14 to 1.98): Very low Other prespecified outcomes not significant. |
| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| Large Moderate Small Trivial Varies Don't know | Likelihood to provide CPR dramatically increased but barriers to performing CPR remain. Rescuers are however given the option to follow instructions. Unfortunately the desired outcome (survival of the event) is not guaranteed and rescuers may suffer trauma either way. Adjusted results: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better: OR 1.81 (95% CI 1.23 to 2.67) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better: OR 1.52 (1.33 to 1.75): Very low Survival to 1 month better: OR 1.63 (1.32 to 2.01): Very low Survival to hospital discharge better: OR 1.32 (1.07 to 1.64): Very low Sutatined ROSC better: OR 1.51 (1.32 to 1.73): Very low All sensitivity analyses confirmed benefit with DA-CPR | Desirable effects best estimated by the evaluation of the adjusted results from the included studies. Unadjusted results were available for more studies, and a larger number of patients. Unadjusted results are included below for comparison: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better : OR 1.45 (95% 1.3 to 1.53) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better : OR 2.12 (1.37 to 3.29): Moderate Survival to hospital discharge better : OR 1.56 (1.34 to 1.81): Low Sustained ROSC better : OR 1.50 (1.14 to 1.98): Very low Other prespecified outcomes not significant. |

| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
|--|--|---|
| Very low Low Moderate High No included studies | Adjusted results from observational studies only. Downgraded for risk of bias. Seven sensitivity analyses were conducted for 5 of 9 critical and 1 of the 2 important outcomes that were reported for this comparison. All sensitivity analyses confirmed benefit with DA-CPR | |
| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| Important uncertainty or variability Possibly important uncertainty or variability Probably no important uncertainty or variability No important uncertainty or variability | Main outcome is survival, and neurologically intact survival. People may actually vary in whether they desire CPR in the event of a cardiac arrest, but COSCA has confirmed importance of these outcomes. The vast majority of the population have not declared that they don't. No published evidence regarding this intervention for quality of life in survivors. | COSCA: Haywood K, Whitehead L, Nadkarni VM, Achana F, Beesems S, Bottiger BW, et al. COSCA (Core Outcome Set for Cardiac Arrest) in Adults: An Advisory Statement From the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. Resuscitation. 2018;127:147-63. |
| | | |
| | | |
| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| Judgement • Favors the comparison • Probably favors the comparison • Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison • Probably favors the intervention • Favors the intervention • Varies • Don't know | Research evidence Adjusted results: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better: OR 1.81 (95% CI 1.23 to 2.67) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better: OR 1.52 (1.33 to 1.75): Very low Survival to 1 month better: OR 1.63 (1.32 to 2.01): Very low Survival to hospital discharge better: OR 1.32 (1.07 to 1.64): Very low Sustained ROSC better: OR 1.51 (1.32 to 1.73): Very low All sensitivity analyses confirmed benefit with DA-CPR. | Additional considerations Desirable effects best estimated by the evaluation of the adjusted results from the included studies. Unadjusted results were available for more studies, and a larger number of patients. Unadjusted results are included below for comparison: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better : OR 1.45 (95% 1.38 to 1.53) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better : OR 2.12 (1.37 to 3.29): Moderate Survival to hospital discharge better : OR 1.56 (1.34 to 1.81): Low Sustained ROSC better : OR 1.50 (1.14 to 1.98): Very low Other prespecified outcomes not significant. |
| Favors the comparison Probably favors the comparison Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison Probably favors the intervention Favors the intervention Varies | Adjusted results: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better: OR 1.81 (95% CI 1.23 to 2.67) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better: OR 1.52 (1.33 to 1.75): Very low Survival to 1 month better: OR 1.63 (1.32 to 2.01): Very low Survival to hospital discharge better: OR 1.32 (1.07 to 1.64): Very low Sustained ROSC better: OR 1.51 (1.32 to 1.73): Very low | Desirable effects best estimated by the evaluation of the adjusted results from the included studies. Unadjusted results were available for more studies, and a larger number of patients. Unadjusted results are included below for comparison: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better : OR 1.45 (95% 1.38 to 1.53) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better : OR 2.12 (1.37 to 3.29): Moderate Survival to hospital discharge better : OR 1.56 (1.34 to 1.81): Low Sustained ROSC better : OR 1.50 (1.14 to 1.98): Very low |
| Favors the comparison Probably favors the comparison Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison Probably favors the intervention Favors the intervention Varies | Adjusted results: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better: OR 1.81 (95% CI 1.23 to 2.67) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better: OR 1.52 (1.33 to 1.75): Very low Survival to 1 month better: OR 1.63 (1.32 to 2.01): Very low Survival to hospital discharge better: OR 1.32 (1.07 to 1.64): Very low Sustained ROSC better: OR 1.51 (1.32 to 1.73): Very low | Desirable effects best estimated by the evaluation of the adjusted results from the included studies. Unadjusted results were available for more studies, and a larger number of patients. Unadjusted results are included below for comparison: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better : OR 1.45 (95% 1.38 to 1.53) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better : OR 2.12 (1.37 to 3.29): Moderate Survival to hospital discharge better : OR 1.56 (1.34 to 1.81): Low Sustained ROSC better : OR 1.50 (1.14 to 1.98): Very low |
| Favors the comparison Probably favors the comparison Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison Probably favors the intervention Favors the intervention Varies Don't know | Adjusted results: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better: OR 1.81 (95% CI 1.23 to 2.67) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better: OR 1.52 (1.33 to 1.75): Very low Survival to 1 month better: OR 1.63 (1.32 to 2.01): Very low Survival to hospital discharge better: OR 1.32 (1.07 to 1.64): Very low Sustained ROSC better: OR 1.51 (1.32 to 1.73): Very low All sensitivity analyses confirmed benefit with DA-CPR. | Desirable effects best estimated by the evaluation of the adjusted results from the included studies. Unadjusted results were available for more studies, and a larger number of patients. Unadjusted results are included below for comparison: Neurologically intact survival 1 month better : OR 1.45 (95% 1.38 to 1.53) Certainly: Very low Neurologically intact hospital survival better : OR 2.12 (1.37 to 3.29): Moderate Survival to hospital discharge better : OR 1.56 (1.34 to 1.81): Low Sustained ROSC better : OR 1.50 (1.14 to 1.98): Very low Other prespecified outcomes not significant. |

| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
|---|---|---|
| Very low Low Moderate High No included studies | No relevant published data was identified for review so unable to provide any certainty here. | |
| | | |
| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| Favors the comparison Probably favors the comparison Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison Probably favors the intervention Favors the intervention Varies No included studies | Pubmed search: (("Cost-Benefit Analysis"[Mesh]) AND ("Heart Arrest" [Mesh] OR "Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest"[Mesh] OR "Death, Sudden, Cardiac"[Mesh])) AND "Emergency Medical Dispatcher"[Mesh] No relevant published data was identified for review. | One study identified suggested that bystander CPR appeared "cost-effective": Geri G, Fahrenbruch C, Meischke H, Painter I, White L, Rea TD, Weaver MR. Effects of bystander CPR following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest on hospital costs and long- term survival. Resuscitation. 2017 Jun 1;115:129-34. |
| | | |
| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| Reduced Probably reduced Probably no impact Probably increased Increased Varies Don't know | No relevant published data was identified for review. There may be populations that reflect geographical and cultural issues where the interventions may be less effective (widening the potential gap between outcomes). | |
| | | |
| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| No Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies Don't know | No relevant published data was identified for review. Rescuers have requested assistance and could expect instructions for them to carry out. Unaware of any perverse community implications (other strategies to promote CPR are widely accepted). | |
| | | |
| Judgement | Research evidence | Additional considerations |
| No Probably no Probably yes Yes Varies Don't know | Some limitations to the maximal benefit of implementation that were identified in existing studies include: how instructions for DA-CPR are delivered (DA protocol, dispatcher handling delays induced by the caller); motivation of dispatcher, the previous training experience and compliance rate of bystanders; and the quality of the CPR provided. | |

Summary of judgements

| No | Probably no | Probably yes | Yes | | Varies | Don't know |
|---------|-------------|--------------|-------|--|--------|---------------|
| Trivial | Small | Moderate | Large | | Varies | Don't know |
| | | | | | | Danlt |

| Large | Moderate | Small | Trivial | | Varies | Don't know |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------|--------|---------------------------|
| Very low | Low | Moderate | High | | | No included studies |
| Important uncertainty or variability | Possibly important uncertainty or variability | Probably no important uncertainty or variability | No important uncertainty or variability | | | |
| Favors the comparison | Probably favors the comparison | Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison | Probably favors the intervention | Favors the intervention | Varies | Don't know |
| Large costs | Moderate costs | Negligible costs and savings | Moderate savings | Large savings | Varies | Don't know |
| Very low | Low | Moderate | High | | | No included studies |
| Favors the comparison | Probably favors the comparison | Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison | Probably favors the intervention | Favors the intervention | Varies | No included studies |
| Reduced | Probably reduced | Probably no impact | Probably increased | Increased | Varies | Don't know |
| No | Probably no | Probably yes | Yes | | Varies | Don't know |
| No | Probably no | Probably yes | Yes | | Varies | Don't know |

Type of recommendation

| Strong recommendation against the option | Conditional recommendation against the option | Conditional recommendation for either the option or the comparison | Conditional recommendation for the option | Strong recommendation for the option | |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | |

Conclusions

Draft from ESR:

We recommend that emergency medical dispatch centers have systems in place to enable call handlers to provide CPR instructions for adult patients in cardiac arrest. (strong recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence)

We recommend that emergency call takers provide CPR instructions (when required) for adult patients in cardiac arrest. (strong recommendation, very-low-certainty evidence)

Desirable effects best estimated by the evaluation of the adjusted results from the included studies. This resulted in a smaller of number of trails being included and a smaller number of patients having their outcomes evaluated. Adjusted results confirmed improvements in neurologically intact survival at 1 month and hospital discharge, survival to 1 month and hospital discharge, and sustained ROSC. All sensitivity analyses indicated benefit for DA-CPR, which was statistically significant for all outcomes except for survival with good neurological recovery at 1 month.

Discordant recommendation made despite very low quality evidence as the evidence suggests benefit in a life threatening situation and the associated risks/harm are considered small.

Prespecified: Other: Existing system for DA-CPR Short response times. Bystander CPR rates. Mobile phone uptake and coverage.

Existing system for DA-CPR Short response times. Bystander CPR rates. Mobile phone uptake and coverage.